



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>A01N 1/02, C12N 1/02, 5/00, C12Q 1/02, 1/18, 1/24</b>		A1	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 98/02038</b>
			(43) International Publication Date: <b>22 January 1998 (22.01.98)</b>
(21) International Application Number: <b>PCT/US97/11595</b>		(81) Designated States: <b>AL, AM, AT, AT (Utility model), AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, CZ (Utility model), DE, DE (Utility model), DK, DK (Utility model), EE, EE (Utility model), ES, FI, FI (Utility model), GB, GE, GH, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SK (Utility model), SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</b>	
(22) International Filing Date: <b>10 July 1997 (10.07.97)</b>			
(30) Priority Data: <b>08/679,056 12 July 1996 (12.07.96) US</b>			
(71) Applicant: <b>PRECISION THERAPEUTICS, INC. [US/US]; 3636 Boulevard of the Allies, Pittsburgh, PA 15213 (US).</b>			
(72) Inventor: <b>KORNBLITH, Paul, L.; 907 Settler's Ridge Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15238 (US).</b>			
(74) Agents: <b>JOHNSON, Barbara, E. et al.; Webb Ziesenhein Bruening Logsdon Orkin &amp; Hanson, P.C., 700 Koppers Building, 436 Seventh Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818 (US).</b>			
<p><b>Published</b></p> <p><i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>			

(54) Title: **PRECISE EFFICACY ASSAY METHODS FOR ACTIVE AGENTS INCLUDING CHEMOTHERAPEUTIC AGENTS**

**(57) Abstract**

An improved system for screening a multiple of candidate therapeutic or chemotherapeutic agents for efficacy as to a specific patient, in which a tissue sample from the patient is harvested, cultured and separately exposed to a plurality of treatments and/or therapeutic agents for the purpose of objectively identifying the best treatment or agent for the particular patient. Specific method innovations such as tissue sample preparation techniques render this method practically as well as theoretically useful. One particularly important tissue sample preparation technique is the initial preparation of cohesive multicellular particulates of the tissue sample, rather than enzymatically dissociated cell suspensions or preparations, for initial tissue culture monolayer preparation. By subjecting uniform samples of cells to a wide variety of active agents (and concentrations thereof), the most promising agent and concentration for treatment of a particular patient can be determined.

***FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY***

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

PRECISE EFFICACY ASSAY METHODS  
FOR ACTIVE AGENTS INCLUDING CHEMOTHERAPEUTIC AGENTS

Field of the Invention

The invention relates to screening and testing of  
5 active agents, including chemotherapeutic agents, to  
predict potential efficacy in individual patients in whom  
treatment with such agents is indicated.

Introduction

All active agents including chemotherapeutic  
10 active agents are subjected to rigorous testing as to  
efficacy and safety prior to approval for medical use in  
the United States. Methods of assessing efficacy have  
included elaborate investigations of large populations in  
double blind studies as to a given treatment method and/or  
15 active agent, with concomitant statistical interpretation  
of the resulting data, but these conclusions are inevitably  
generalized as to patient populations taken as a whole. In  
many pharmaceutical disciplines and particularly in the  
area of chemotherapy, however, the results of individual  
20 patient therapy may not comport with generalized data--to  
the detriment of the individual patient. The need has been  
long recognized for a method of assessing the therapeutic  
potential of active agents, including but not limited to  
chemotherapeutic agents, for their efficacy as to a given  
25 individual patient, prior to the treatment of that patient.

Prior art assays already exist which expose  
malignant tissue of various types to a plurality of active  
agents, for the purpose of assessing the best choice for  
therapeutic administration. For example, in Kruczynski,  
30 A., et al., "Evidence of a direct relationship between the  
increase in the in vitro passage number of human non-small-  
cell-lung cancer primocultures and their chemosensitivity,"  
Anticancer Research, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 507-513 (1993),  
chemosensitivity of non-small-cell-lung cancers was  
35 investigated in in vivo grafts, in in vitro primocultures  
and in commercially available long-term cancer cell lines.  
The increase in chemosensitivity was documented and

correlated with morphological changes in the cells in question. Sometimes animal model malignant cells and/or established cell cultures are tested with prospective therapy agents, see for example Arnold, J.T., "Evaluation 5 of chemopreventive agents in different mechanistic classes using a rat tracheal epithelial cell culture transformation assay," Cancer Res., vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 537-543 (1995).

When actual patient cells are used to form in vitro assays focussed on individual patients, in typical 10 prior art processes the cells are harvested (biopsied) and trypsinized (connective tissue digested with the enzyme trypsin) to yield a cell suspension suitable for conversion to the desired tissue culture form. The in vitro tissue culture cell collections which result from these techniques 15 are generally plagued by their inability accurately to imitate the chemosensitivity of the original tumor or other cell biopsy. Standard cloning and tissue culture techniques are moreover excessively complicated and expensive for use in a patient-by-patient assay setting. 20 A need thus remains for a technique of tissue culture preparation which provides cell cultures, for drug screening purposes, in which after simple preparation the cell cultures react in a manner equivalent to their in vivo reactivity, to enable drug or chemotherapeutic agent 25 screening as to a particular patient for whom such screening is indicated.

#### Summary of the Invention

In order to meet this need, the present invention is an improved system for screening a multiple of candidate 30 therapeutic or chemotherapeutic agents for efficacy as to a specific patient, in which a tissue sample from the patient is harvested, cultured and separately exposed to a plurality of treatments and/or therapeutic agents for the purpose of objectively identifying the best treatment for 35 the cultured cells obtained from the patient. Specific method innovations such as tissue sample preparation

techniques render this method practically as well as theoretically useful. One particularly important tissue sample preparation technique is the initial preparation of cohesive multicellular particulates of the tissue sample, 5 rather than enzymatically dissociated cell suspensions or preparations, for initial tissue culture monolayer preparation. With respect to the culturing of malignant cells, for example, it is believed (without any intention of being bound by the theory) that by maintaining the 10 malignant cells within a multicellular particulate of the originating tissue, growth of the malignant cells themselves is facilitated versus the overgrowth of fibroblasts or other cells which tends to occur when suspended tumor cells are grown in culture. Practical 15 monolayers of cells may thus be formed to enable meaningful screening of a plurality of treatments and/or agents. Growth of cells is monitored to ascertain the time to initiate the assay and to determine the growth rate of the cultured cells; sequence and timing of drug addition is 20 also monitored and optimized. By subjecting uniform samples of cells to a wide variety of active agents (and concentrations thereof), the most efficacious agent can be determined. For assays concerning cancer treatment, a two-stage evaluation is contemplated in which both acute 25 cytotoxic and longer term inhibitory effect of a given anti-cancer agent are investigated.

#### Detailed Description of the Invention

The present invention is a system for screening a multiple of candidate therapeutic or chemotherapeutic 30 agents for efficacy as to a specific patient, in which a tissue sample from the patient is harvested and separately exposed to a plurality of treatments and/or therapeutic agents for the purpose of objectively identifying the best treatment or agent. Specific method innovations such as 35 tissue sample preparation techniques render this method practically as well as theoretically useful. One

particularly important tissue sample preparation technique is the initial preparation of cohesive multicellular particulates (explants) of the tissue sample, rather than enzymatically dissociated cell suspensions or preparations, 5 for initial tissue culture monolayer preparation. Cell growth, and sequence and timing of drug addition, are monitored and optimized.

An important application of the present invention is the screening of chemotherapeutic agents and other 10 antineoplastic therapies against tissue culture preparations of malignant cells from the patients from whom malignant samples are biopsied. Related anti-cancer therapies which can be screened using the inventive system are both radiation therapy and agents which enhance the 15 cytotoxicity of radiation, as well as immunotherapeutic anti-cancer agents. Screening processes for treatments or therapeutic agents for nonmalignant syndromes are also embraced within this invention, however, and include without limitation agents which combat hyperproliferative 20 syndromes, such as psoriasis, or wound healing agents. Nor is the present efficacy assay limited only to the screening of active agents which speed up (healing) or slow down (anti-cancer, anti-hyperproliferative) cell growth because agents intended to enhance or to subdue intracellular 25 biochemical functions may be tested in the present tissue culture system also. For example, the formation or blocking of enzymes, neurotransmitters and other biochemicals may be screened with the present assay methods prior to treatment of the patient.

30 When the patient is to be treated for the presence of tumor, in the preferred embodiment of the present invention a tumor biopsy of >100 mg of non-necrotic, non-contaminated tissue is harvested from the patient by any suitable biopsy or surgical procedure known 35 in the art. Biopsy sample preparation generally proceeds as follows under a Laminar Flow Hood which should be turned on at least 20 minutes before use. Reagent grade ethanol

is used to wipe down the surface of the hood prior to beginning the sample preparation. The tumor is then removed, under sterile conditions, from the shipping container and is minced with sterile scissors. If the 5 specimen arrives already minced, the individual tumor pieces should be divided into four groups. Using sterile forceps, each undivided tissue quarter is then placed in 3 ml sterile growth medium (Standard F-10 medium containing 17% calf serum and a standard amount of Penicillin and 10 Streptomycin) and systematically minced by using two sterile scalpels in a scissor-like motion, or mechanically equivalent manual or automated opposing incisor blades. This cross-cutting motion is important because the technique creates smooth cut edges on the resulting tumor 15 multicellular particulates. Preferably but not necessarily, the tumor particulates each measure 1 mm<sup>3</sup>. After each tumor quarter has been minced, the particles are plated in culture flasks using sterile pasteur pipettes (9 explants per T-25 or 20 particulates per T-75 flask). Each 20 flask is then labelled with the patient's code, the date of explantation and any other distinguishing data. The explants should be evenly distributed across the bottom surface of the flask, with initial inverted incubation in a 37° C. incubator for 5-10 minutes, followed by addition 25 of about 5-10 ml sterile growth medium and further incubation in the normal, non-inverted position. Flasks are placed in a 35° C, non-CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. Flasks should be checked daily for growth and contamination. Over a period of a few weeks, with weekly removal and replacement of 5 ml 30 of growth medium, the explants will foster growth of cells into a monolayer. With respect to the culturing of malignant cells, it is believed (without any intention of being bound by the theory) that by maintaining the malignant cells within a multicellular particulate of the 35 originating tissue, growth of the malignant cells

themselves is facilitated versus the overgrowth of fibroblasts (or other unwanted cells) which tends to occur when suspended tumor cells are grown in culture.

The use of the above procedure to form a cell monolayer culture maximizes the growth of malignant cells from the tissue sample, and thus optimizes ensuing tissue culture assay of chemotherapeutic action of various agents to be tested. Enhanced growth of actual malignant cells is only one aspect of the present invention, however; another important feature is the growth rate monitoring system used to oversee growth of the monolayer once formed. Once a primary culture and its derived secondary monolayer tissue culture has been initiated, the growth of the cells is monitored to ascertain the time to initiate the chemotherapy assay and to determine the growth rate of the cultured cells.

Monitoring of the growth of cells is conducted by counting the cells in the monolayer on a periodic basis, without killing or staining the cells and without removing any cells from the culture flask. The counting may be done visually or by automated methods, either with or without the use of estimating techniques known in the art (counting in a representative area of a grid multiplied by number of grid areas, for example). Data from periodic counting is then used to determine growth rates which may or may not be considered parallel to growth rates of the same cells in vivo in the patient. If growth rate cycles can be documented, for example, then dosing of certain active agents can be customized for the patient. The same growth rate can be used to evaluate radiation treatment periodicity, as well. It should be noted that with the growth rate determinations conducted while the monolayers grow in their flasks, the present method requires no hemocytometry, flow cytometry or use of microscope slides and staining, with all their concommitant labor and cost.

Protocols for monolayer growth rate generally use a phase-contrast inverted microscope to examine culture flasks incubated in a 37° C. (5% CO<sub>2</sub>) incubator. When the flask is placed under the phase-contrast inverted microscope, ten fields (areas on a grid inherent to the flask) are examined using the 10x objective, with the proviso that the ten fields should be non-contiguous, or significantly removed from one another, so that the ten fields are a representative sampling of the whole flask.

Percentage cell occupancy for each field examined is noted, and averaging of these percentages then provides an estimate of overall percent confluency in the cell culture. When patient samples have been divided between two or among three or more flasks, an average cell count for the total patient sample should be calculated. The calculated average percent confluency should be entered into a process log to enable compilation of data--and plotting of growth curves--over time. Monolayer cultures may be photographed to document cell morphology and culture growth patterns.

The applicable formula is:

$$\text{Percent confluency} = \frac{\text{estimate of the area occupied by cells}}{\text{total area in an observed field}}$$

As an example, therefore, if the estimate of area occupied by the cells is 30% and the total area of the field is 100%, percent confluency is 30/100, or 30.

Adaptation of the above protocol for non-tumor cells is straightforward and generally constitutes an equivalent procedure.

Active agent screening using the cultured cells does not proceed in the initial incubation flask, but generally proceeds using plates such as microtiter plates. The performance of the chemosensitivity assay used for screening purposes depends on the ability to deliver a reproducible cell number to each row in a plate and/or a series of plates, as well as the ability to achieve an even distribution of cells throughout a given well. The

following procedure assures that cells are reproducibly transferred from flask to microtiter plates, and cells are evenly distributed across the surface of each well.

The first step in preparing the microtiter plates 5 is, of course, preparing and monitoring the monolayer as described above. The following protocol is exemplary and susceptible of variation as will be apparent to one skilled in the art. Cells are removed from the culture flask and a cell pellet is prepared by centrifugation. The cell 10 pellet derived from the monolayer is then suspended in 5 ml of the growth medium and mixed in a conical tube with a vortex for 6 to 10 seconds. The tube is then rocked back and forth 10 times. A 36  $\mu$ l droplet from the center of the conical tube is pipetted onto one well of a 96 well plate. 15 A fresh pipette is then used to pipette a 36  $\mu$ l aliquot of trypan blue solution, which is added to the same well, and the two droplets are mixed with repeated pipette aspiration. The resulting admixture is then divided between two hemocytometer chambers for examination using a 20 standard light microscope. Cells are counted in two out of four hemocytometer quadrants, under 10x magnification. Only those cells which have not taken up the trypan blue dye are counted. This process is repeated for the second counting chamber. An average cell count per chamber is 25 thus determined. Using means known in the art, the quadrant count values are checked, logged, multiplied by  $10^4$  to give cells/ml, and the total amount of fluid (growth medium) necessary to suspend remaining cell aliquots is calculated accordingly.

30 After the desired concentration of cells in medium has been determined, additional cell aliquots from the monolayer are suspended in growth medium via vortex and rocking and loaded into a Terasaki dispenser known in the art. Aliquots of the prepared cell suspension are 35 delivered into the microtiter plates using Terasaki dispenser techniques known in the art. A plurality of plates may be prepared from a single cell suspension as

needed. Plates are then wrapped in sterile wet cotton gauze and incubated in an incubator box by means known in the art.

After the microtiter plates have been prepared, 5 exposure of the cells therein to active agent is conducted according to the following exemplary protocol. During this portion of the inventive assay, the appropriate amount of specific active agent is transferred into the microtiter plates prepared as described above. A general protocol, 10 which may be adapted, follows. Each microtiter plate is unwrapped from its wet cotton gauze sponge and microscopically examined for cell adhesion. Control solution is dispensed into delineated rows of wells within the grid in the microtiter plate, and appropriate aliquots 15 of active agent to be tested are added to the remaining wells in the remaining rows. Ordinarily, sequentially increasing concentrations of the active agent being tested are administered into progressively higher numbered rows in the plate. The plates are then rewrapped in their gauze 20 and incubated in an incubator box at 37° C. under 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After a predefined exposure time, the plates are unwrapped, blotted with sterile gauze to remove the agent, washed with Hank's Balance Salt Solution, flooded with growth medium, and replaced in the incubator in an incubator box for a 25 predefined time period, after which the plates may be fixed and stained for evaluation.

Fixing and staining may be conducted according to a number of suitable procedures; the following is representative. After removal of the plates from the 30 incubator box, culture medium is poured off and the plates are flooded with Hank's Balance Salt Solution. After repeated flooding (with agitation each time) the plates are then flooded with reagent grade ethanol for 2-5 minutes. The ethanol is then poured off. Staining is accomplished 35 with approximately 5 ml of Giemsa Stain per plate, although volume is not critical and flooding is the goal. Giemsa stain should be left in place 5 min. ± 30 seconds as timing

influences staining intensity. The Giemsa stain is then poured off and the plates are dipped 3 times cold tap water in a beaker. The plates are then inverted, shaken vigorously, and air dried overnight (with plate lids off) 5 on a rack on a laboratory bench. Cells per well are then counted manually or by automated and/or computerized means, to derive data regarding chemosensitivity of cells at various concentrations of exposure. One particularly useful computer operating environment for counting cells is 10 the commercially available OPTIMATE compiler, which is designed to permit an optical counting function well suited to computerized cell counting procedures and subsequent calculations.

The above procedures do not change appreciably 15 when cell growth promoters are assayed rather than cell arresting agents such as chemotherapeutic agents. The present assay allows cell death or cell growth to be monitored with equal ease. In any case, optimization of use of the present system will involve the comparative 20 testing of a variety of candidate active agents, for selection of the best candidate for patient treatment based upon the in vitro results. One particularly advantageous embodiment of the above described invention comprises a two-stage assay for cytotoxicity followed by evaluation of 25 longer-term inhibitory effect. Chemotherapeutic agents may thus be evaluated separately for both their direct chemotherapeutic effect as well as for their longer duration efficacy.

Identification of one or more active agents or 30 chemotherapeutic agents is peripheral to the present invention, which is intended for the efficacy screening of any or all of them as to a given patient. Literally any active agent may be screened according to the present invention; listing exemplary active agents is thus omitted 35 here.

The essence of the invention thus includes the important feature of the simplicity of the present system--cohesive multicellular particulates of the patient tissue to be tested are used to form cell monolayers; growth of 5 those monolayers is monitored for accurate prediction of correlating growth of the same cells in vivo; and differing concentrations of a number of active agents may be tested for the purpose of determining not only the most appropriate agent but the most appropriate concentration of 10 that agent for actual patient exposure (according to the calculated cell growth rates). It is also important to note, in the context of the invention, that the present system allows in vitro tests to be conducted in suspensions of tissue culture monolayers grown in nutrient medium under 15 fast conditions (a matter of weeks), rather than with single cell progeny produced by dilution cloning over long periods of time. In some cases, the present invention is a two stage assay for both cytotoxicity and the longer-term growth inhibitory.

20 Although the present invention has been described with respect to specific materials and methods above, the invention is only to be considered limited insofar as is set forth in the accompanying claims.

I claim:

1. A method for assessing chemosensitivity of patient cells comprising the steps of:

a) harvesting a specimen of a patient's tissue, cells ascites, or effusion fluid;

5 b) separating said specimen into multicellular particulates;

c) growing a tissue culture monolayer from said cohesive multicellular particulates;

10 d) inoculating cells from said monolayer into a plurality of segregated sites; and

e) treating said plurality of sites with at least one active agent, followed by assessment of chemosensitivity of the cells in said site to at least one active agent.

2. The method according to claim 1 wherein step

a) further comprises the step of

a) preparing a specimen which was harvested from a sample of patient tumor tissue;.

3. The method according to claim 1 wherein said plurality of segregated sites further comprises a plate containing a plurality of wells therein.

4. The method according to claim 1 wherein step

e) further comprises the step of:

5 e) treating said plurality of sites with a plurality of active agents at varied concentrations, followed by assessment of optimal chemosensitivity with respect to a single active agent at a single concentration.

5. The method according to claim 1 wherein step

e) further comprises the step of:

e) treating said plurality of sites with a plurality of active agents over a length of time adequate

5 to permit assessment of both initial cytotoxic effect and longer-term inhibitory effect of at least one of said plurality of active agents.

6. The method according to claim 1 wherein the chemosensitivity assayed according to step e) is anti-cancer sensitivity.

7. The method according to claim 1 wherein step d) is accomplished using a Terasaki dispenser.

8. The method according to claim 1 wherein the cells in step d) are prepared in suspension prior to inoculation into a plurality of wells in a culture plate.

9. The method according to claim 1, wherein said active agent is a chemotherapeutic agent.

10. The method according to claim 1, wherein said active agent is a wound healing agent.

11. The method according to claim 1, wherein said active agent is a radiation therapy and/or a radiation therapy sensitizing or ameliorating agent.

12. The method according to claim 1, where said active agent is an immunotherapeutic agent.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US97/11595

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) :A01N 1/02; C12N 1/02, 5/00; C12Q 1/02, 1/18, 1/24  
 US CL :435/2, 29, 30, 32, 240.2, 261, 803

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 435/2, 29, 30, 32, 240.2, 261, 803

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

APS, BIOSIS, CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 4,423,145 A (STAMPFER et al.) 27 December 1983, see columns 3 and 6.	1-12
X	US 5,242,806 A (YEN-MAGUIRE et al.) 07 September 1993, see the abstract, columns 3 and 10.	1-12
X	US 5,270,172 A (MORGAN) 14 December 1993, see columns 5 and 13.	1-12
X	US 4,937,187 A (ROTMAN) 26 June 1990, see column 8.	1-12
A	US 5,443,950 A (NAUGHTON et al.) 22 August 1995, see entire document.	1-12

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

•	Special categories of cited documents:	
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E"	earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

19 AUGUST 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

12 NOV 1997

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
 Box PCT  
 Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer *JW for*  
 RALPH GITOMER

Telephone No. (703) 308-1235